

Appln. No.: 10/725,017

IN THE DRAWINGS

The attached sheets of drawings including Figures 1-8 have been formalized to replace the drawings originally filed with the application.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets, Figures 1-8

REMARKS

Claim 1-3, 5-12, 14, 16, and 18-24 remain in the application. Claims 1, 3, 16, 21 and 24 are independent. Claim 21 was indicated as allowable and has been re-written in independent form.

The claims have been respectively amended to distinguish from the references and any combination thereof.

Claim 1 now recites that the support members (16, 18) are spaced and independent of one another and that the support brackets (36, 38) are spaced along and interconnect and span the space between the support members (16, 18). Claim 1 now recites that the support brackets (36, 38) interconnect both the spaced support members (16, 18) and the support legs (20, 22). None of the references suggest spaced and independent support members interconnected by brackets which also support the legs.

Claim 3 recites a wheel sub-assembly (42) for alternatively supporting the work-stand assembly (10) in either the support position or the folded position for rolling transport of the work-stand assembly (10) in either of the support or folded positions. None of the references suggest a wheel sub-assembly that supports the assembly for rolling transport in two different positions.

Claim 16 recites a support bar (107) disposed in telescoping relationship with one of the support members (16, 18). Clearly none of the references suggest two spaced support members with a support bar disposed in telescoping relationship with one of the support members.

Claim 21 was allowed.

Claim 24 recites hollow support members (16, 18) and a work-piece support assembly (98, 100) extending longitudinally from and in telescoping relationship within one of said support members (16, 18). Again, none of the references suggest a pair of

support members interconnected by brackets with a work-piece support assembly telescopingly disposed within one of the hollow support members.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the Application, as amended, is now presented in condition for allowance, which allowance is respectfully solicited. Applicant believes that no fees are due, however, if any become required, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account 08-2789. Further and favorable reconsideration of the outstanding Office Action is hereby requested.

Respectfully submitted

HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.

October 6, 2005


Date



Harold W. Milton, Jr., Registration No. 22,180
The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite #101
39400 Woodward Avenue
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304-5151
(248) 723-0352

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this **Amendment** is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on October 6, 2005.



Susan K. Olson